
Subject: Re: GIT essentials

Posted by [andrei_natanael](#) on Tue, 16 Feb 2010 14:45:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

fudadmin wrote on Tue, 16 February 2010 15:42 could anyone explain why Uvs2 was bad? And what features it was lacking comparing to git and/or other version c. systems?

I've used it only few times but if i remember correctly reasons were:

- not a wide used tool so every developers wanting to commit to U++ had to learn it and it wasn't released together with U++ or TheIDE, so one had to build it from uppbox folder... IIRC initially it was existing only on private repository
- compared to git, uvs isn't a DVCS
- i don't know if branching and merging was possible
- looked like U++ developer suffer from Not Invented Here syndrome and abandoning Uvs and choosing an external tool for SCM spread any rumors (no, it's not a reason, but we have our own IDE, our(STL) NTL, our own Image format [with hot-spots], site is made with U++ made tools)

Perhaps one should make a comparison between Uvs and SVN, GIT, BZR, etc. maybe we get back to it

Andrei
