
Subject: Re: in code comments - doxygen
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 09:27:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mr_ped wrote on Tue, 06 February 2007 04:11luzr wrote on Mon, 05 February 2007 22:57 I would like to postpone the final judgement until we have new class browser with Topic++ integration.

IMHO it's not as much about what Topic++ *can* do.

It's simply the docs stored not in code, what is main problem.
So whenever you change code, you must run Topic++ to change the help, which will never (ok, with absolutely disciplined programmers it will work superb, but we live in real world) work as good as doxygen's comments which reside inside of the code itself.
Still any lazy programmer will break even doxygen documentation, but the the some-what lazy programmer (who's too lazy to launch Topic++ but not enough lazy to not change comment) will produce better results with doxygen-like approach.

So the Topic++ will be always 1 step behind doxygen on the low level documentation, unless you merge the best of both worlds i.e. add features into Topic++ which will analyze the code itself and extract the low level documentation directly from code and comments just like doxygen does.

Well, these arguments are considered and valid.

On the other side of equation there is comfort of Topic++ editor... I can have advanced WYSIWYG formatting, spell checking, pictures...

Mirek
