
Subject: Speed-up linking

Posted by [lectus](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 01:11:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is it possible to speed-up linking with Mingw?

Or do I have to move to MSVC++ Express to get faster linking?

Linking here with latest upp-dev/mingw is 1:09.71 of a simple application with a window and few controls. Is it normal?

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 07:26:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lectus wrote on Thu, 05 October 2006 21:11: Is it possible to speed-up linking with Mingw?

Or do I have to move to MSVC++ Express to get faster linking?

Linking here with latest upp-dev/mingw is 1:09.71 of a simple application with a window and few controls. Is it normal?

No. Should be about 10s max. (But MSC is much faster anyway - best is 7.1).

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [forlano](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 07:32:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lectus wrote on Fri, 06 October 2006 03:11: Is it possible to speed-up linking with Mingw?

Or do I have to move to MSVC++ Express to get faster linking?

Linking here with latest upp-dev/mingw is 1:09.71 of a simple application with a window and few controls. Is it normal?

Move to MSVC++ Express as soon as possible!

Luigi

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 14:30:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually, the best compiler for U++ is MSC7.1. MSC8.0 is slower (lacks usable automatic precompiled headers) and produces a little bit larger exes in release mode...

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [Werner](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 15:13:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 06 October 2006 16:30 Actually, the best compiler for U++ is MSC7.1. MSC8.0 is slower (lacks usable automatic precompiled headers) and produces a little bit larger exes in release mode...

You are quite certainly right. But to be fair to Microsoft one might want to take into consideration "New Standard C++ Library Features" (<http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms235424.aspx>) and "What's New in Visual C++ Compiler, Language, and Tools" (<http://msdn2.microsoft.com/en-us/library/f0tby9k9.aspx>).

By the way, it is possible to overcome some of the limitations of the Visual C++ 2005 Express Edition by applying the knowledge found in "Using the Free Visual C++ 2005 Express Edition to Develop Win32 Applications" (<http://www.codeproject.com/useritems/FreeVS2005Win32.asp>).

Werner

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [lectus](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 17:17:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"No. Should be about 10s max."

How do you get this? If I can do some configuration to speed-up the linking like this I would not need to download the big VC++ Express+Platform SDK.

I don't understand this. When C++ compilers get more ANSI compliant they become worse. VC++ 7.1 is better than VC++ 8.0. Also GCC 2.5 produced smaller/faster code than GCC 3.1 (that is more ANSI compliant). Why this?

I think if the linking is faster at least during development and slower at the the final release it would be OK.

Which is faster? Mingw debug, Mingw optimal, Mingw speed, Mingw size?

Thanks!!

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 19:14:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I have just downloaded latest mingw-dev for tests:

----- Days (GUI MAIN GCC DEBUG DEBUG_FULL BLITZ WIN32) (9 / 9)

main.cpp

Days: 1 file(s) built in (0:04.70), 4703 msecs / file, duration = 4703 msecs

Linking...

(option '-O 2' ignored)

__main: duplicate

-> used: libmingw32.a:gccmain.o:1

-> discarded: libgcc.a:__main.o:1

C:\mingw\out\MINGW.Debug_full.Gui\Days.exe (11353340 B) linked in (0:02.96)

OK. (1:58.01)

...as it works out of box... (My slow notebook 1.8Ghz Sempron, 768MB RAM, 4200rpm HD). Hard to say what is wrong with your setup.

And yes, debug builds should be fastest as they use BLITZ..

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [lectus](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 20:30:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here, Athlon XP 2000+ (1.6GZ), 256MB RAM, 7200RPM HD:

Quote:----- Days (GUI MAIN GCC DEBUG DEBUG_FULL BLITZ WIN32) (9 / 9)

main.cpp

Days: 1 file(s) built in (0:10.89), 10891 msec / file, duration = 10907 msec

Linking...

C:\up\out\MINGW.Debug_full.Gui\Days.exe (12590698 B) linked in (0:31.26)

OK. (0:42.45)

I think the speed is right.

I like U++ a lot, but Mingw speed is quite boring. I think I'll install MSVC++ 2003 Toolkit(not 2005 Express - I don't need the IDE)+Platform SDK, even if it will waste some HD space, but it'll be faster to compile/test/learn with U++ and build some applications.

Thanks for attention!

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 20:34:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

BTW, if you are using "regular" mingw (not -mingw version of U++), try to use -mingw U++ instead - we have replaced ld.exe with our linker rewritten from the scratch (much faster).

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [lectus](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 22:39:44 GMT

I was using the Mingw that comes with U++.

I'm now using VC++ 2005 Express (couldn't find my VC++ 2003 Toolkit), and I installed just the command line compiler with the Platform SDK (without IDE).

I couldn't use BLITZ and the compilation was slower than Mingw with BLITZ. But now that the library is already built the compilation and linking of applications are faster. Plus, with VC++ the size of final application is smaller.

Mingw size -> 1,72MB

VC++ 2005 size -> 0,98MB

If I use UPX to pack, the final application built with VC++ is about 300KB.

I know that the library is all inside the EXE and it does not need any DLL. So, this size is quite fair, although I think it could be improved.

Anyway, keep up the good work!

And thanks for the free IDE/library!

Subject: Re: Speed-up linking

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 07 Oct 2006 05:50:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

lectus wrote on Fri, 06 October 2006 18:39 I was using the Mingw that comes with U++.

I'm now using VC++ 2005 Express (couldn't find my VC++ 2003 Toolkit), and I installed just the command line compiler with the Platform SDK (without IDE).

I couldn't use BLITZ and the compilation was slower than Mingw with BLITZ.

Both is really strange. Might be those 256MB.

If I have a time, I will check with my old 256MB machine.

Mirek
