
Subject: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 13 Feb 2010 13:10:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would like to finally remove all "Win98" compatibility issues from U++....

(I am not quite sure whether U++ still works in Win98, but so far I left the code inside).

Mirek

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Sun, 14 Feb 2010 09:43:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, it works under Win98.

Some time ago Win98 compatibility became much less important even for automation projects.

But why not support Win98, after all?

Mirek, does Win98 compatibility make many problems for you?

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 14 Feb 2010 16:41:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mindtraveller wrote on Sun, 14 February 2010 04:43: Yes, it works under Win98.

Some time ago Win98 compatibility became much less important even for automation projects.

But why not support Win98, after all?

Mirek, does Win98 compatibility make many problems for you?

Moderate ones. E.g. people want Cout() to work correctly with i18n, which requires using WinNT console functions (AFAIK).

Well, I can still do runtime dynamic loading, but it is tedious....

Then there also are some multithreading features and similar issues. But I would say i18n is the most important issue why I would like to see Win98 finally gone.

Mirek

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [zsolt](#) on Mon, 15 Feb 2010 11:37:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had lots of issues on Win98, so I stopped supporting it.

I guard my software against running it on pre Win2k operating systems.

Win 98 (and ME) userbase is so small currently, that I don't think, win98 (or ME) support is needed anymore.

If somebody needs it, it is possible to use an older U++ version, I think.

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?
Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Mon, 15 Feb 2010 11:40:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Sun, 14 February 2010 18:41Mindtraveller wrote on Sun, 14 February 2010 04:43Yes, it works under Win98.
Some time ago Win98 compatibility became much less important even for automation projects. But why not support Win98, after all?
Mirek, does Win98 compatibility make many problems for you?

Moderate ones. E.g. people want Cout() to work correctly with i18n, which requires using WinNT console functions (AFAIK).

Well, I can still do runtime dynamic loading, but it is tedious....

Then there also are some multithreading features and similar issues. But I would say i18n is the most important issue why I would like to see Win98 finally gone.

Mirek

Well theoretically this issue could be solved by banning all "normal" WinAPI calls (without A or W ending), an only use A version on Win98 and W on NT or later. But most cases already do this.

But in practice there should be some small issues still after this.

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?
Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Fri, 19 Feb 2010 00:15:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is it possible to introduce WIN98 flag which will simple "turn off" new versions of some U++ functions/abilities? May be this will solve problem more gently. For example, WIN98 will not introduce any "improved" i18n, Mt, etc. - as it will contain "old" code, while general purpose functions are being upgraded.

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?
Posted by [styla786](#) on Wed, 14 Jul 2010 08:57:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

my brother still operates with windows 98. When I visit him, he shows me his web space info, I just look at him and slowly shake my head. he said well it still works good, so yes they are out

there.
but he is comfortable with it.

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [mrjt](#) on Wed, 14 Jul 2010 10:20:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I say banish it. Surely nobody running Window 98 has any expectation of new software supporting it?

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 15 Jul 2010 08:37:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mrjt wrote on Wed, 14 July 2010 06:20I say banish it. Surely nobody running Window 98 has any expectation of new software supporting it?

OK.

I will not rush to remove all Win98 compatibility code for now, but I will not add any such either. If XP API is required, I will be now using it directly...

Mirek

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Sun, 18 Jul 2010 07:52:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I guess it is time. Even industrial automation is moving along.)
Thanks for supporting Win98 on previous build through all these years.

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [roy howard](#) on Mon, 15 Nov 2010 19:09:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I still have a Windows 98se powered box and the application is still optimal and without issues. I just apply the latest patch updates every week. It's a good thing it still is working under these conditions since I love my Windows98 box and I use it for coding.

Roy Howard

[mod: spammer, so I removed the links ... still the message is so funny.. coding on Win98 .. So I am leaving it here for amusement]

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [cbpporter](#) on Tue, 16 Nov 2010 08:22:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now why is that funny? I am sure that a lot of our Windows users have fond memories of coding on Win98 .

Subject: Re: Anybody still knowing anyone using Win98?

Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Tue, 16 Nov 2010 08:36:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm.. my memories of coding on Win9x can be summed up to "why didn't they release win2k sooner?". I can't even count how many times did I reboot the system by some mistake, it was pretty much useless as a dev platform (when compared to win2k). (null pointer reference anyone? Especially writing there?)

(I was actually still using the first Win95 without any service pack, when 2k came out, because I didn't see any real benefit in Win98. When I saw what win2k is doing, I migrated in a week.)

I can't imagine any sane person developing AT Win9x today (FOR is different matter), unless it's true masochist.
