

---

Subject: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Thu, 25 Feb 2010 09:42:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello all

In an Upp meeting it was proposed to label svn releases to let to classify them.

To define it better I include you a proposal and the advantages.

I think it is important so, although almost everything could be changed in this proposal, please try to be as constructive as possible.

#### Proposal

- The format of svn release comments could be:

[PACKAGE], [RELEASE TYPE]: Comments

where:

- [PACKAGE] would be the package name
- [RELEASE TYPE] would be the type and importance of release. Valid values could be:
  - "major": A relevant improvement
  - "fix": A bug fix
  - No release type if it is not major change or a fix

for example:

- Core, major: Added support to xxx
- GridCtrl, fix: Fixed problem xxx
- Uppweb: Fixed some spelling errors

#### Advantages

- Easier to filter Svn releases over RSS feeds  
Svn releases not labeled would not appear in RSS
  - See dolik.rce (Honza) initiative in <http://www.ultimatepp.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&th=4956&start=0>
  - This could solve cbbporter comments in last Upp meeting
- Easier to do announcements as only releases labeled as major or fixes would be included in announcement text

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [Sc0rch](#) on Thu, 25 Feb 2010 09:50:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello, koldo!

What about next?

- Core: fixed bugs...
- + CtrlLib: new features...
- \* CtrlCore: changes...

and keep changes sorted. For example, this order:

- 1) fixed bugs,
  - 2) new features,
  - 3) changes
- or replace 2 and 3.

Another way:

Bugfixes:

Core: ...

CtrlLib: ...

Changes:

...

New features:

...

I like the second way. But I don't know, which of them better for svn.

Best regards,  
Anton

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Thu, 25 Feb 2010 11:21:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sc0rch wrote on Thu, 25 February 2010 10:50Hello, koldo!

What about next?

- Core: fixed bugs...
- + CtrlLib: new features...
- \* CtrlCore: changes...

and keep changes sorted. For example, this order:

- 1) fixed bugs,
- 2) new features,

3) changes  
or replace 2 and 3.

Another way:

Bugfixes:

Core: ...

CtrlLib: ...

Changes:

...

New features:

...

I like the second way. But I don't know, which of them better for svn.

Best regards,

Anton

Hello Anton

It is no exactly the same. I refer to svn revision commit log messages, like in  
<http://code.google.com/p/upp-mirror/source/list>.

It would require to follow a strict text format in those messages.

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [Sc0rch](#) on Thu, 25 Feb 2010 11:52:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

koldo wrote on Thu, 25 February 2010 17:21  
Hello Anton

It is no exactly the same. I refer to svn revision commit log messages, like in  
<http://code.google.com/p/upp-mirror/source/list>.

It would require to follow a strict text format in those messages.  
I've understand you. Common style in coding/commenting is always good idea!

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [dolik.rce](#) on Thu, 25 Feb 2010 12:59:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If we define clean rules, we can even enforce them using pre-commit hook. Same mechanism as

is used now for rejecting commits without log (see this thread), only few more lines

And by the way: Mirek proposed something similar here.

Regards,  
Honza

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Thu, 25 Feb 2010 13:39:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

dolik.rce wrote on Thu, 25 February 2010 13:59 If we define clean rules, we can even enforce them using pre-commit hook. Same mechanism as is used now for rejecting commits without log (see this thread), only few more lines

And by the way: Mirek proposed something similar here.

Regards,  
Honza

Hello Honza

Yes it is true. It was not in a meeting :

Quote: I am now only thinking whether we should introduce some convention about "minor changes". Like putting '.' before commit message?

".fixed small type"

vs

"Refactored the ide search"

Mirek

Good idea too. And good way to enforce it Honza. .

With all of this implemented we can know:

- Package affected
  - It is main Upp or it is Bazaar
  - Change importance. For me Mirek's idea is enough.
- 
-

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 26 Feb 2010 11:08:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

dolik.rce wrote on Thu, 25 February 2010 07:59 If we define clean rules, we can even enforce them using pre-commit hook. Same mechanism as is used now for rejecting commits without log (see this thread), only few more lines

And by the way: Mirek proposed something similar here.

Regards,  
Honza

Not only that, I am following my rules

I think this really a very good idea.

I am also using "Syncing uppdev" for commits that only backup that devils nest... Not sure how to properly specify it, it is backuping of testcases, testing code, developed code and other non-important things.

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 26 Feb 2010 11:13:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I believe we should just keep it simple. I would like to see "changes", "fixes", "unimportant".

Maybe, leave changes without anything, mark fixes with "\*" and "unimportant" (like syncing uppdev) with "":

Core: Xmlize now supports Values  
\*Core: Xmlize Color Value bug fixed  
.Core: fixed formatting  
.uppdev

Also, name of package without anything if it is uppsrc, but

reference/ArrayCtrlCtrls2: new ArrayCtrl example demonstrating....

and also name of nest alone if it affects more than single package in the nest. And if commit affects several packages, separate them with colon.

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering

---

Posted by [koldo](#) on Fri, 26 Feb 2010 11:37:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Mirek

Quote:I believe we should just keep it simpleYes

Quote:Maybe, leave changes without anything, mark fixes with "\*" and "unimportant" (like syncing uppdev) with "":

If you see commit messages in SVN now some texts are not right. Because of it I would put a mark to all messages. If a message text has not a mark, dolik.rce technology would refuse it.

All other rules are good for me. If dolik.rce could enforce them at much as possible it would be perfect.

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 26 Feb 2010 14:01:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

koldo wrote on Fri, 26 February 2010 06:37If you see commit messages in SVN now some texts are not right. Because of it I would put a mark to all messages. If a message text has not a mark, dolik.rce technology would refuse it.

Ahm, I am not 100% sure we should enforce that by svn. There still can be commits that cannot categorized this way.

Mirek

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering

Posted by [dolik.rce](#) on Fri, 26 Feb 2010 15:16:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

luzr wrote on Fri, 26 February 2010 15:01koldo wrote on Fri, 26 February 2010 06:37If you see commit messages in SVN now some texts are not right. Because of it I would put a mark to all messages. If a message text has not a mark, dolik.rce technology would refuse it.

Ahm, I am not 100% sure we should enforce that by svn. There still can be commits that cannot categorized this way.

Mirek

This could be solved by some rule like "if log starts with \_\_, accept without further checks"... On the other hands, too complicated rules are not good idea as well.

Generally, I think any of this should be enforced only on release directories (bazaar,examples,reference,tutorial,uppsrc).

Honza

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 26 Feb 2010 17:33:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzr wrote on Fri, 26 February 2010 06:13  
Core: Xmlize now supports Values  
\*Core: Xmlize Color Value bug fixed  
.Core: fixed formatting  
.uppdev

Well, whatever, I have started using above now

Mirek

---

---

Subject: Re: Easier svn releases filtering  
Posted by [sergeynikitin](#) on Wed, 03 Mar 2010 05:19:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Friends! Common practice is- Core: fixed bugs...  
+ CtrlLib: new features...  
\* CtrlCore: changes...  
In addition to visually obvious that the '-' is something negative '+' - a new possibility '\*' - willcard - all the rest.  
It is possible to extend this practice to '.' - Unimportant (I propose mnemonic rule - point - little asterisk - little willcard).

PS

May be the right to vote? (although personally I'll take the general view)

---