
Subject: C++11 library features finished

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 31 Aug 2015 11:38:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have finished adding C++11 features for the next release. Basically, there is support for C++11 initializers and also support for lambdas in Callbacks.

Lambdas have problem as all-catch templated constructor in std::function creates overloading issues. Previously, I was trying to solve it using LAMBDA macro, but it proved cumbersome. New approach allows direct use of lambda via operator<< (because we do not overload that one much for callbacks).

Demo in reference/Cpp11

```
#include "CtrlLib/CtrlLib.h"
```

```
using namespace Upp;
```

```
#define LAYOUTFILE <Cpp11/Cpp11.lay>
```

```
#include <CtrlCore/lay.h>
```

```
GUI_APP_MAIN
```

```
{  
  WithMyAppLayout<TopWindow> dlg;  
  CtrlLayout(dlg, "C++11 demo");  
  dlg.list.NoHeader().AddColumn();  
  Vector<int> x = { 1, 2, 12, 34, 15, 11 };  
  for(auto i : x)  
    dlg.list.Add(i);  
  dlg.add << [&] {  
    if(dlg.list.Find(~dlg.number) < 0)  
      dlg.list.Add(~dlg.number);  
  };  
  dlg.list.WhenSel << [&] {  
    dlg.number <<= dlg.list.GetKey();  
  };  
  dlg.Execute();  
}
```

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished

Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Mon, 31 Aug 2015 13:16:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Very cool, thank you!

It looks like time to get used to new coding style. Finally we have inlined (lambda) callbacks

without declaring new member functions.

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [slashupp](#) on Sun, 15 Nov 2015 16:16:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Am using this feature all-over the place now
brilliant time & effort saver

thx

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2015 23:59:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Still having rare issues with newest C++11-style code:

```
struct GLTextureDescriptor : Moveable<GLTextureDescriptor>
{
    int w,h,wTex,hTex;
    struct GLTex : Moveable<GLTex>
    {
        int w,h;
        GLuint glId;
    };
    Vector<Vector<GLTex>> glTex;
};
VectorMap<String,GLTextureDescriptor> glTextureDescriptors;

//...
GLTextureDescriptor desc;
//...
glTextureDescriptors.AddPick(key, desc); // <-- compiler error, cannot cast 2nd argument to
GLTextureDescriptor &&
```

I wasn't able to find quick & easy solution for this case.

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Novo](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 03:41:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is another C++11 problem. Checked with MSVC2015.

```
One<MFile> mfile;
One<MFile> cur_mfile(new MFile);
mfile = cur_mfile; // <-- Upp::One<MFile> &Upp::One<MFile>::operator =(const Upp::One<MFile>
&): attempting to reference a deleted function
```

I need to detach value explicitly.

```
mfile = cur_mfile.Detach();
```

Thanks.

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 14:29:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mindtraveller wrote on Thu, 19 November 2015 00:59 Still having rare issues with newest C++11-style code:

```
struct GLTextureDescriptor : Moveable<GLTextureDescriptor>
{
    int w,h,wTex,hTex;
    struct GLTex : Moveable<GLTex>
    {
        int w,h;
        GLuint glId;
    };
    Vector<Vector<GLTex>> glTex;
};
VectorMap<String,GLTextureDescriptor> glTextureDescriptors;

//...
GLTextureDescriptor desc;
//...
glTextureDescriptors.AddPick(key, desc); // <-- compiler error, cannot cast 2nd argument to
GLTextureDescriptor &&
```

I wasn't able to find quick & easy solution for this case.

AddPick(key, pick(desc)) should work here. Does it not?

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 14:31:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Novo wrote on Thu, 19 November 2015 04:41 There is another C++11 problem. Checked with

MSVC2015.

```
One<MFile> mfile;  
One<MFile> cur_mfile(new MFile);  
mfile = cur_mfile; // <-- Upp::One<MFile> &Upp::One<MFile>::operator =(const Upp::One<MFile>  
&): attempting to reference a deleted function
```

I need to detach value explicitly.

```
mfile = cur_mfile.Detach();
```

Thanks.

Same thing... mfile = pick(cur_mfile).

Simple: You now need to be explicit, except for temporaries.

Bit more verbose, but in fact I was able to find some bugs at compile time already thanks to this..

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 17:05:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mirek, you are absolutely right. Somehow I forgot to use pick/AddPick combination. C++11-style coding rules seem more strict but it should help avoid bugs. Thanks!

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 20 Nov 2015 01:50:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Thu, 19 November 2015 09:31
Same thing... mfile = pick(cur_mfile).

Simple: You now need to be explicit, except for temporaries.

Bit more verbose, but in fact I was able to find some bugs at compile time already thanks to this..

Thanks! I missed that.

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Alboni](#) on Wed, 01 Jun 2016 01:22:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Something weird going on. I had an accident with my .upp folder and deleted it. Now that hasn't been a disaster before; upp will recreate it, I had no special configuration in my build method on linux. But now I can't compile anything without adding -std=gnu++11
I didn't have to add anything before. But that still doesn't work, as AtomicWrite() doesn't seem to exist anymore and there seems to be a problem with CallbackNP.i see attachment.

so it sounds like extra flags are needed in the build method that is not put there by default (any more?) in the current version?
But everything compiled right yesterday. Haven't changed anything else.

File Attachments

1) [weirdness.png](#), downloaded 1199 times

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 01 Jun 2016 21:24:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uhm, perhaps you have missed the announcement that trunk now requires c++11?

As for AtomicWrite, it is removed because it is not necessary. Just assign the damned variable...

Mirek

P.S.: You can still have 'old' U++ is you use 'classic' instead of 'trunk'.

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 03 Jun 2016 17:27:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
code\gui\upp\trunk\uppsrc\core\map.h(178) : warning C4717:  
'Upp::AMap<int,Upp::Vector<int>,Upp::Vector<Upp::Vector<int> > >::FindPutPick': recursive on all  
control paths, function will cause runtime stack overflow
```

MSVC 2015 x64.

Just to let you know.

The same problem should happen to FindAddPick and GetAddPick.

Although they are deprecated, they still are really recursive, and apps crash because of that.

I believe you wanted to implement them this way:

```
FindPutPick(const K& k, T&& init)          { return FindPut(k, pick(init)); }
```

TIA.

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 03 Jun 2016 17:38:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another thing. IMHO, AMap::GetPutPick(const K& k, T&& x) should be renamed into GetPut, and GetPutPick should be deprecated.

TIA

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Novo](#) on Sat, 04 Jun 2016 03:36:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is a bug in `AMap<K, T, V>::FindPut(const K& k, T&& init)`. It should look like below.

```
template <class K, class T, class V>
int AMap<K, T, V>::FindPut(const K& k, T&& init)
{
    unsigned hash = key.hashfn(k);
    int i = Find(k, hash);
    if(i < 0) {
        i = key.Put(k, hash);
        value.At(i) = pick(init);
    }
    return i;
}
```

The same problem can be found in other methods where you are using r-value directly (without "pick").

Below is a test case.

```
VectorMap<int, Vector<int> > x;
x.FindPut(1, Vector<int>());
```

You can just try to compile all methods taking r-value and see what happens.

EDIT: I've attached a patch.

Regards

File Attachments

1) [AMap.zip](#), downloaded 349 times

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [mirek](#) on Thu, 09 Jun 2016 12:05:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, you are completely correct.

(BTW, perhaps you have some testing code now - if yes, I can add it to nightly tests, just send it to me).

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [Novo](#) on Fri, 10 Jun 2016 03:09:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirek wrote on Thu, 09 June 2016 08:05 Thanks, you are completely correct.

(BTW, perhaps you have some testing code now - if yes, I can add it to nightly tests, just send it to

me).

I do not have special tests for this problem. I spotted it by trying to run an old app.

I've attached another similar patch. I'm not 100% sure about each change, but it looks like I'm right.

Regards

File Attachments

1) [r-value.patch](#), downloaded 345 times

Subject: Re: C++11 library features finished
Posted by [mirek](#) on Sat, 11 Jun 2016 18:55:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, applied as well.
