

---

Subject: Re: Upp 707-dev1 released

Posted by [mirek](#) on Mon, 16 Jul 2007 21:45:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ebojd wrote on Mon, 16 July 2007 11:00I just checked the SVN tree to update some experimental portage ebuids I'm playing with. I noticed that the development version lists itself as (706.r67), but the latest revision listed in the SVN tree is r61. Should r61 be listed as 705.r61, 706.r61, 707.r??, or do we need to resolve the revision tree? As a note, the reason I care at all is that I'm trying to sort this out before releasing the new gentoo portage overlay. I am experimenting with extracting the source tree from sourceforge SVN by revision (not the preferred method, but...).

EBo --

These .rNN releases are "internal". Release software replaces them with "dev" or "final" versions (707-dev1 etc).

Frankly, the whole story about .rNN is that it feels like a bad idea to have it the same as "public" releases, the is why "r" and sometimes we change that, sometimes we forget to (Because sometimes, I have 6 releases in a single day for my personal use - i recompile release theide after any finished feature or bugfix to find bugs ASAP...