
Subject: Re: Usage of "private:" in uppsrc - A strong vote for "protected:"

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 20:09:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I understand your pain, but the real problem is that parts defined as "private" have freedom to change. These are implementation things....

OK, just today with premultiplied alpha design fiasco (or lack of), leading to fundamental interface change, this argument might sound moot, but protected really makes things "fixed".

Therefore I think you should try 1) in most cases. Please, sometimes if core team does not react, feel free to repeat the request. We are just busy doing something else...

If 1) does not work, it in most cases means you need something else from the class that we have intended it for. If it is not something "core" (like Ctrl interface), I would just copy the class and maintained the special version under different name.

Mirek
