

---

Subject: Re: [bug] UPP doesn't see B: virtual drive  
Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Fri, 17 Aug 2007 21:03:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I would say there's hell lot of situations when A: and/or B: exists: system restore CDs (where "diskette" drives are emulated with CD/DVD dirs), optical drives, on-board flash drives (some systems start from on-board flash with totally hacked Windows versions, adopted for industrial automatics), etc.

I think, that if user WANTS to select B: and knows about some delay - it's his problem. But we, programmers, must give him this option.

Or else it would be frankly to write in manual's 1st page: "Here's my mega-totally-cool highly OOP-oriented cross-platform framework with great abilities, which... doesn't see B: drive at all."

Explicit cancelling for B: is definitely not obvious feature for user (and programmer). Then, why B:? Why not A: & B:? After all I suppose this is some effect of making really global framework: some configurations need things, which other computers don't. It's OK. Is it right to deprive users for their unusual config for it's easy not to do that? I'd say, no, it isn't.

P.S. I really consider U++ "ega-totally-cool highly OOP-oriented cross-platform framework with great abilities" It just needs some polishing in a number of ways, to start a little victorious procession for occupying frameworks niche. )

---