

---

Subject: Re: food for thought [was: Time and Date]

Posted by [mrjt](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2007 10:38:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ebojd wrote on Thu, 30 August 2007 18:38 Anyway, food for thought.

A feast

I agree with both of you. It's definitely impractical to fundamentally change the current Date/Time structure - besides the large amount of work this would break far to much code - and I agree that it's futile attempting to devise a design that is going to be applicable to all situations.

My concern really originates from the fact that currently Upp handles this issue is a very unfriendly way. EditTime in particular doesn't do what you'd expect it to and is essentially useless (I can't think of any reason why you would want to use it instead of two seperate Time/Date fields). And because of the EditField/Convert concept the reason and solution to this is not obvious to new users.

Do you think it would be feasible to change EditTime to return the number of seconds and only accept times? The change is easy to make, but does anyone already use it?

I know this a relatively minor issue, but I've got a bee in bonnet about it now, and I think it's in everyone interest to make Upp as good and easy to learn as possible.

James

EDIT: Changed my mind about some stuff...

---