
Subject: Re: A new container in works: Flex - fast insertion vector

Posted by [sergei](#) on Thu, 20 Sep 2007 23:52:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 21 September 2007 01:34sergei wrote on Thu, 20 September 2007 18:44Have you benched this against Array? Array should be better than Vector in insert/delete (and maybe other things) for large-sized elements (not int, something like large classes).

Sergei, I have designed Array, I am well aware about that

But you can cheat the O numbers only to some degree. Both Array and Vector have basic insertion complexity $O(n)$, while this new beast has something like $O(\sqrt{N})$.

Note also that once you have base Flex implemented, adding ArrayFlex is trivial..

Mirek

$O(\sqrt{N})$? Nice... Are there downsides vs. Vector for this container (besides slightly slower on small-sized containers)? And does it basically require moveable?

P.S. Are there any sorted containers in U++, like set/multiset/map/multimap? I prefer that over GetSortOrder.
