
Subject: Re: Very first impressions and.... [FEATURE REQUESTS]

Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 16:22:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mdelfede wrote on Tue, 25 September 2007 10:42luzr wrote on Tue, 25 September 2007 14:22
Actually, maybe my working theory is wrong, but I think you do not have to rescan headers in this case, as long as the change in header does not change the macros...

I don't think you're wrong, I only think all that is VERY complicated !

Why? Well, there are some complicated details, but I think the basic principle is simple...

Quote:

The only (small) flaw I see is that you change a macro on first header you must indeed rescan all following headers, but that's rare.

Yes, but there is no other way...

Quote:

What I don't see are 'big' advantages against a simpler (much simpler) background processing.

Background processing really is not possible, I have shown you before. You do not know when it is supposed to stop. You cannot get a list of macros from preprocessor so that you can continue.

Quote:

p.s. do you think also to make some sort of word completion (besides member function/variables) ? Something like "type-2-chars-press-a-key-and-get-a-list-of-words" ? That would be useful too...

That is already there, ctrl+,

Mirek
