

---

Subject: Re: U++ public image - please read and discuss...

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Wed, 22 Feb 2006 08:00:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hojtsy wrote on Tue, 21 February 2006 11:17I see these issues as the main obstacles for U++:  
1) documentation coverage is the worst I have ever seen for any library

It is not so bad.. believe me I can even say that Bulider C++ which I am force to use at work is much worse in this case. Besides upp has only 2 main developers, who most of their time spend on developing commercial apps..

I think we need to write more tutorials. This what is the most needed at the very begining. I wanted to write one about creating own widgets but there is always lack of time..

Quote:2) there is no well known big application built on it which would prove the concept (thelde is not a well known app!)

what about 'application wrtitten in upp'. There are quite a few

Quote:3) navigation in the topic browser is so inconvenient that even a single text file with all the docs would be better. At least a searchable panel with the class names should be provided ASAP as the first step.

agreed. And if the text could be selectable.. And some docs in topic browser should be always visible (not only when that and no other package is open).. like docs for ide

One more thought: We could deliver the chm doc-file with an installation.

Quote:4) it is perceived as a one-man project, meaning that:

4a) if something would happen to the one or two developpers the development would be discontinued

Iteresting I think about it from time to time But the community is growing, the first patches appeared from outside. I hope it will be continual tendency.

Quote:4b) some uncommon personal preferences appear which might not seem justified for the majority of developers. For example several other GUI toolkits get along quite well without inventing an own rich text format. Or that a hundred other text editors save only when the user requests it, but thelde knows better and saves anyway. Or the ColumnList scrolling vertically, while multi-column lists scrolls horizontally everywhere outside U++. Or that the root namespace is contaminated with 2000 global functions! This list could go on and on. I don't want to be offensive: I also have strange uncommon preferences but these should be suppressed when a library is developed for the public.

Having your own rich text format is an adavantage in my meaning.. You have full control on your documents, raports, etc.. Besides qtf can be easily converted to any other format.

Saving the files is in fact different to any other editor but I don't think it is an very important thing. I didn't have any problems to get used to it (and now I even miss it in others editors )