Subject: Re: Core chat...
Posted by cbpporter on Wed, 24 Oct 2007 13:30:49 GMT

luzr wrote on Tue, 23 October 2007 23:48
I am still not quite sure why people insist on such verbose syntactic sugar (it is really nothing else).

IME/IMO, there is usually much more properties to set than get and setting properties is much more convenient U++/C++ way...

Mirek

Lack of properties is one of my bigger complaints for C++ (lack of module support being on first place), and I consider them more than just syntactic sugar. I think they are better suited to express the abstract idea of a field/property than some function. And I don't see why you consider them verbose. But anyway, by the time C++ gets properties, I'm sure we'll all be 100 years old, so we can concentrate on the issue at hand (which wouldn't be solved by properties either).