
Subject: Re: Core chat...

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Sat, 27 Oct 2007 14:28:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Sat, 27 October 2007 16:02

Sure, that is one possibility. But that would result in completely differnt library:)

of course... that's only a chat, we're not planning to rewrite UPP

Quote:

IMO/IME, pimpl is nice for closed library (in terms of extensibility), but it is a pain in the ass if you want to be flexible.

E.g. consider something as simple as inheriting things

Of course, inheritance is the biggest problem of pimpl... well, not difficult but boring, as you have to derive 2 classes in parallel.

Quote:

All in all, you can circle around the issue as you want. But I believe that U++ paradigm is the most optimal Minimum code to write, maximum flexibility, near to optimal performance.

That is not a bad tradeoff for pick_ IMO

ok, ok... I give up!!!

Ciao

Max
