

---

Subject: Re: C++ FQA

Posted by [exolon](#) on Mon, 12 Nov 2007 12:09:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

unodgs wrote on Wed, 07 November 2007 09:40Quote:So, I would say for now we do not have any adequate alternatives for C++.

Some claim it is D ([www.digitalmars.com/D](http://www.digitalmars.com/D)). At least it has comparable set of features.

D does seem good, and its built-in string handling and GC are apparently fast.

Check out the computer language benchmarks game for some tests of various languages.

Personally, I've been looking into programming in Eiffel (with the free SmartEiffel compiler) lately, but there seems to be no useful GUI library... the built-in one supplied with the compiler libraries, "Vision", is so ugly it hurts.

p.s. Funny quote from the FQA "There's a basic assumption behind C++ that extra features can't be a problem - only missing features can. That's why there are so many features in C++, and in particular so many duplicate ones. Real world analogies ("imagine a dog with twelve legs") are pale compared to this reality."

---