

---

Subject: Re: C++ FQA

Posted by [Mindtraveller](#) on Tue, 13 Nov 2007 01:41:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

luzrnot only are .iml compressed using zlib, but even more importantly, several images are always compressed in a single block. More I know, more I like it.

cbpporterWell these execution freezes are not worse than in JVN or .NET platforms. Actually, they can even be shorter. I would like to see some real-life samples of GC performance, not just speculation or my personal experience. Have you ever used a bigger .NET or JVM application. Just don't get me wrong: I develop nearly real-time applications (not RTA actually at all when we discuss Windows issues). I do work with actual hardware devices with a number of protocols. It all runs under highly truncated version of Windows, which doesn't know much of system-hanging device drivers like CD-ROM ones. So, generally we have no big problems with OS latency on protocol timeouts like 50-500 msec.

This way neither Java or .NET, nor similar "heavy" platforms can be used (usually those industrial computers are not that quick as Pentium3/4 to support virtual machines, and memory installed may be below even 64/128 MB).

But I need to use GUI as much as time-critical code working with hardware devices. It all of course is divided into different threads etc. The thing I need most - is efficiency and predictability, afterwards - ease of use.

This all situation makes GC or other hanging solutions totally unacceptable for my tasks. Huge memory consumption is unacceptable too.

Besides, I don't like complex solutions in a situation when simple solution can be applied without high cost. So that U++ satisfies me by a number of criteria, becoming successful replacement for Borland C++ Builder.

You can throw rocks at me, but I don't see necessity of having general purpose GC when you have experience with constructing/deconstructing of objects. It all may be good at learning-style languages like basic, but well-organized code generally knows where and when to delete objects - more of that, you may choose a moment to do costly memory operations - when they are the mostly invisible for user (knowing program logic). I just don't believe that GC can determine these (most effective) moments automatically.

Also.

Speaking about reference counting and GC in such a general way makes hard to think of what is really better. Maybe it would be more efficient to discuss some real-life class to be more specific and sure in reasons. Just a thought.

---