Subject: Re: Intentionally inconstistent use of "Atomic" in Mt.h? Posted by mirek on Sat, 16 Feb 2008 16:03:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Werner wrote on Sat, 16 February 2008 07:19All the Atomic... functions in Mt.h (be it WIN32 or POSIX) return int - but shouldn't it be Atomic?

The type of Atomic depends:

MT & POSIX: \_Atomic\_word -> int MT & WIN32: LONG -> long ST & POSIX: int ST & WIN32: int

Indeed the called WIN32 functions Interlocked... return long. So, to avoid implicit casts it might be preferable to adapt the return type of the Atomic... functions. If I then assign an Atomic to an int, I am seeing what I am doing.

Werner

Well, but you should not directly assign Atomic to int, you should rather use AtomicRead...

Mirek

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from U++ Forum