Subject: Re: Intentionally inconstistent use of "Atomic" in Mt.h?
Posted by Werner on Wed, 20 Feb 2008 20:47:11 GMT

luzr wrote on Wed, 20 February 2008 19:39First of all I think this is miniscule problem... not worthy of such detailed examination.

... but then again I have seen - in other cases and under worse conditions - that minuscule inconstistencies led to major problems ...

But never mind, in this specific case you are most likely right, so thank you very much for still answering anyway.

I mainly posted this question out of curiosity, just to learn why you coded it that way.

Actually I bumped into this issue when analyzing Mt.h, Mt.cpp, CoWork.h, and CoWork.cpp. Unfortunately multithreading with Ultimate++ is poorly documented so far, and I am the guy who wants to know exactly what's going on when using someone else's code.

Werner