
Subject: Re: namespace upp

Posted by [am_upp](#) on Fri, 03 Mar 2006 17:44:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 03 March 2006 12:18

To tell the truth, we were considering "upp" namespaces many times over the years, but always came to conclusion that the only "benefit" it would have would be adding "using upp;" into all sources...

It would be a minor change to source code but would solve a major problem (at least for those who have that problem).

For the time being do you know a workaround to avoid these name clashes? Should I try to put the U++ symbols into a namespace by myself?

Andreas
