
Subject: Re: Optimized memcmp for x86
Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2008 18:08:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 22 February 2008 11:07

```
if(len & 2)
    if(*(word *)x != *(word *)y)
        return int(_byteswap_ushort(*(word *)x) - _byteswap_ushort(*(word *)y));
if(len & 1)
    return int(*(byte *)x + 2) - int(*(byte *)y + 2);
```

I don't get this end.

```
switch (len & 3)
0: it looks ok to me.
1: the return int(*(byte *)x) - int(*(byte *)y); should be returned?
2: looks ok
3: looks ok
```

I would maybe try masking out unused bytes, but that would lead to read out of buffer boundary.
Is it safe?

I mean something like this

```
...
const static dword masks[4] = { 0x00000000, 0x000000FF, 0x0000FFFF, 0x00FFFFFF };
//Intel-like endian only!
return int(_byteswap_ulong(*x & masks[len&3]) - _byteswap_ulong(*y & masks[len&3]));
```

I'm not sure I got the byteswap purpose correctly, but I think I got, so my code is probably ok (but I didn't test it).

Of course it reads beyond buffer end, so you need to know it will not raise exception or crash the application on target platform.
