
Subject: Re: namespace upp

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 03 Mar 2006 17:49:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

am_upp wrote on Fri, 03 March 2006 12:44luzr wrote on Fri, 03 March 2006 12:18

To tell the truth, we were considering "upp" namaspecs many times over th years, but always came to conclusion that the only "benefit" it would have would be adding "using upp;" into all sources...

It would be a minor change to source code but would solve a major problem (at least for those who have that problem).

For the time being do you know a workaround to avoid these name clashes? Should I try to put the U++ symbols into a namespace by myself?

Andreas

Actually, that would be an interesting experiment and very useful experiment (However, I am not sure whether the change will be as "minor" as you expect).

Tell us how it goes!

Mirek
