
Subject: A new [Ctrl] timer id strategy

Posted by [huanghuan](#) on Tue, 04 Mar 2008 15:07:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
Ctrl::~Ctrl() {  
    ...  
    KillTimeCallbacks(this, (byte *) this + sizeof(Ctrl));  
    [COLOR=red]KillTimeCallbacks(this, (byte *) this + sizeof(this));[/COLOR]  
}
```

How can red code implement its mean ? I don't know.

Why? reasons as follow:

1. lazy coder write a new ctrl inherit from some deep inherited ctrl classes. lazyer don't want read the all classes in inherit tree.

```
class ACtrl : public SomeDeepInheritedClassBySomeOthers  
{
```

```
    ...  
    Ctrl::SetTimeCallback(..., id? );
```

```
    ...  
}2. In big inherit tree. timer id is managed by increment. But sometimes we forget or mistake the last id. The follow code is safe. So Ctrl::SetTimeCallback is desperate.
```

```
class A : public Ctrl
```

```
{  
    char dummy;
```

```
public:
```

```
    ...  
    Upp::SetTimeCallback(..., &dummy);
```

```
    ...  
};
```

```
class AA : public A
```

```
{  
    char dummy;
```

```
public:
```

```
    ...  
    Upp::SetTimeCallback(..., &dummy);
```

```
    ...  
};
```
