

---

Subject: Re: Win98 support

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 05 Mar 2008 11:01:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cbpporter wrote on Wed, 05 March 2008 04:57Mindtraveller wrote on Tue, 04 March 2008 23:59It seems like good idea. Let`s wait for month or two. I think SP1 or SP2 will certainly correct such a noticable bug.

We could try and wait, but for SP1 my hopes are set just high enough so that the start menu doesn't crash every other day. "Windows Explorer has stopped working". "Windows is checking for a solution...".

Since NOWIN98 is not trivial, I think we should leave it as it is for U++ 2008.1. But I think NOWIN98 should still be implemented slowly, as a low priority task, and renamed as NOANSI or UNICODE or something. I can think of a few situations where it could be useful to produce a binary without any legacy support.

PS: Does U++ work on Win95 too?

Last time I have checked it did... In fact, U++ requires very little from the OS...

Mirek

---