Subject: Re: Upp 2008.1beta2 v2 released
Posted by unodgs on Thu, 10 Apr 2008 10:48:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:I have 2 reasons to do so... from my historic experience I don't believe compilers (it's not like I hit a bug in them every month or so, actually probably less than 1 bug per year, but it made my faith gone)
this must be a really historical argument
Quote:, and for me it's easier to "parse" parentheses in head, than to think about interaction between operators, as the parentheses is one *single* rule, and there are *many* operators. I got so far that in my source I already see ((a * b) + c);
ok, that's an argument. However parentheses in every subexpression is wrong imo. I think acceptable form for you and us (not idiots)is
return (c >= 'a' && c <= 'z') || (c >= 'A' && c <= 'Z') || (c == '_');
only to split ors