Subject: Re: Which is the biggest drawback of U++ "unpopuliarity"?
Posted by mirek on Fri, 25 Apr 2008 11:52:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

tvanriper wrote on Fri, 25 April 2008 07:14luzr wrote on Tue, 22 April 2008 08:44tvanriper wrote
on Tue, 22 April 2008 08:09
I think that's a small issue. You can accomplish both your technical goals (fast, agile code) and
still have the library 'feel' like it belongs as part of the standard template library.

I am not quite sure about it being a "small issue".

IMO it all starts with reasons for String vs std::string...

Mirek
This is where the peer review system is very helpful, I think.

How do you think it could help to resolve this problem?

The real issue IMO is that boost is std:: extension, while U++ is std:: replacement... The basic
design fundamentals and requirements are quite alien to std:: / boost concepts. Therefore I just
fail to see how std:: replacement could do anything with boost.

Well, the only advantage would be to "get the attention", but I am not quite sure that is a good
idea either....

(OTOH, peer review process itself is a very good idea, I wish we had something like that for U++
too...).

Mirek