
Subject: Re: bug in latest svn
Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Sun, 04 May 2008 15:14:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Novo wrote on Sun, 04 May 2008 17:04

```
const String& GetCppFile(int i);
```

```
String value1 = GetCppFile(0); // Case A  
const String& value2 = GetCppFile(0); // Case B
```

In case A GetCppFile() will work correctly.
Case B will introduce a bug.

IMHO, returning "const String&" is just not thread-safe. Object can be deleted in transition.

I guess you're right, with your 2 examples.

On first example it 'should' work, because the source string isn't deleted before assignment, so the value is transferred. The second example is buggy because you return a reference to an object that'll be deleted soon. BTW, I don't know how does the compiler behave with the const modifier.... but I guess it'll not solve the problem.

So the code is bad, imo.... is that maybe the problem ?

Max