
Subject: Re: GIT

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Thu, 22 May 2008 14:15:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think GIT is bad, I only think that it can bring troubles if people don't share often enough their local repositories....

Linus Thorvalds uses it for Linux Kernel, but that's an obvious choice because he wants to have the full control of repository and decide which patches to apply. Let's say that he uses it like a central repo, and people who wants their patches applied must share with him.

With svn, if you make a patch, you put on central repo and all people CAN fetch it when they wants. With git, you must update your repo, remember to share with all other people (OR setup some sort of central repository, but then, what's the advantage of a distributed one???) an other people must fetch AND sync their local copy. There's no more an "official" copy on which people works, if you don't setup a central public repository.

I see git much more useful to keep some sort of local history of your working copy.

BTW, the fact that svn puts "hidden" .svn folders everywhere (which are usually not hidden on windows...) disturbs me too, but it has the advantage to keep all stuff in a single tree.

I don't know how git works, but I gess that it must keep management data somewhere too.

Ciao

Max
