
Subject: Re: Releasing in Win32 xp
Posted by [bytefield](#) on Tue, 27 May 2008 07:34:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mdelfede wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 08:54luzr wrote on Tue, 27 May 2008 00:18bytefield wrote on Mon, 26 May 2008 14:59Well, the build is near linux builds on ultimate server. The problem is if should be there both version of builds, with and without mingw? I've uploaded only the version without mingw, guess who use svn builds have already installed mingw or a MS compiler.

Andrei

I vote for releasing with mingw too.

In fact, I think current "svn" version is more stable than "official" 2007.1 (occassional blunder excluded).

And, more importantly, releasing with mingw allows also testing of the "complete" distribution. I mean, it puts all versions of release under testing. That is very useful...

Mirek

Maybe he meant if he should include mingw on release (which makes it quite big file to download) or without it, not if he should release both mingw and MS compiled versions.
IMHO for svn builds would make more sense to separate mingw (which doesn't change) from upp.
Or, maybe, to make the installer automatically download mingw if needed.

Max

That i was thinking, i wasn't wanted to include mingw with every package, it make no sens, better have mingw as a separate package or make the installer to download and install it if needed. It isn't so hard to modify the installer to download current mingw version, or to specify to user that one compiler have to be installed on system.
