
Subject: Re: I believe we need rc2, right?

Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Fri, 13 Jun 2008 22:06:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

luzr wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 10:07P.S.: I really do think that if possible, rcs should be IDENTICAL to final, except the file name. So the final should be obtained by renaming rc.

uhmmm... that poses some problems on debian.

Well... not the file name (I think, not tested...) but the internal version name, because of debian version ordering.

The '0pre' added in front of latest rc1 was needed because otherwise debian package manager thinks that

2008.1-rc1 is NEWER than 2008.1

refusing so to install the release package.

Adding '0pre-' solves it because debian says that

0pre-2008.xxxxxxx is OLDER than 2008.xxxxx

because of leading 0. Nicer solutions are welcome....

OTOH, if you meant "identical in content", I agree with you, there should be just minor bugfixes between rc and finals.

But, I don't agree too much leaving RCx out of version/splash screen...

Max
