
Subject: Re: Documentation and Topic++
Posted by [mdelfede](#) on Sun, 15 Jun 2008 17:03:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree... IMHO the actual document structure isn't enough.

I'd prefer too a different approach, borland's user guides were very effective and comfortable to read... I think that could be a good example. Good old Microsoft guides in chm format were not bad at all too.

Maybe a good stuff would be to have a good underlying doc structure (maybe xml ?) ,separate viewer/browser AND separated doc editor.

We could even have more viewers, to accomodate user's preferences.

But, in my opinion, documents should be categorized, indexed and cross-referenced. Looking to a function/class should provide some "see-also" stuff, some examples, link to one or more main topics and so on.

And we should have categorized indexes.

Another good point would be to separate (as it was proposed sometimes) documentation from code, and give a more relaxed access to main repository's docs, allowing users to contribute more effectively.

We could even start a new sf project (with its svn repository) to hold just documentation for upp....

Max