

---

Subject: Re: Final release

Posted by [mirek](#) on Sun, 27 Jul 2008 07:01:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

mdelfede wrote on Sat, 26 July 2008 15:07

Just think at 'path' problem and you'll see that's not manageable, OR you loose the ability to run apps from command line.

Why? If systems knows to search for binaries in Apps, it is only a little bit more complicated than PATH (which would be maintained only to express eventual priority).

Quote:

Second problem... where do you put config files ? in app folder... impossible, unix doesn't have a \*reliable\* way to locate executable location.

Actually, current solution in Core/App.cpp seems to work quite well...

Anyway, generally, I could imagine a better way how to handle these issues, but I have already got used to current model and .debs and I think that they work acceptably well. Arguing whether "home" should be called "users" and "usr" -> "apps" is wasting of time

Mirek

---