
Subject: Re: (Possible) Serialization issue

Posted by [bytefield](#) on Mon, 04 Aug 2008 07:36:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, what if we use a "dirty" solution and instead of renaming it we declare a pointer to Load function and then declare Load as deprecated and after a while replace that pointer with content from Load, resulting a new function LoadFromFileWithBackup?

// Solution

```
bool (*LoadFromFileWithBackup)(Callback1<Stream&> serialize, Stream& stream) = & Load;
```

and after a while...

```
bool LoadFromFileWithBackup(Callback1<Stream&> serialize, Stream& stream)
```

```
{
```

```
    StringStream backup;
```

```
    backup.SetStoring();
```

```
    serialize(backup);
```

```
    ASSERT(!backup.IsError());
```

```
    stream.SetLoading();
```

```
    stream.LoadThrowing();
```

```
    try {
```

```
        CheckedSerialize(serialize, stream);
```

```
    }
```

```
    catch(LoadingError) {
```

```
        backup.Seek(0);
```

```
        backup.SetLoading();
```

```
        serialize(backup);
```

```
        ASSERT(!backup.IsError());
```

```
        return false;
```

```
}
```

```
    return true;
```

```
}
```
