
Subject: Re: My explanation of why Ultimate++ is not mainstream

Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 15 Aug 2008 17:10:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

amrein wrote on Fri, 15 August 2008 12:46

I'm wrong?

I do not know. I guess I have explained our 'licensing bussines' already

Seriously, I do not really care. All I want is to let people do with U++ whatever they want. The only thing I still care about is to leave our (c) somewhere and perhaps contact info....

But you are right that this thing sould be clearly presented - people are not lawyers and they are not aware about license details. That is why we have always presented this as "BSD" license because this is what most people know and consider permissive enough.

Anyway I think OSI approved "MIT" best expresses our minimal needs. The only problem I can see is that it is less known....

Mirek
