Subject: Re: My explaination of why Ultimate++ is not mainstream
Posted by amrein on Fri, 15 Aug 2008 17:46:42 GMT

MIT is "The Massachusetts Institute of Technology". They has mainly students in science, technology but also other areas of scholarship. Very good reputation in US.

The MIT licence is very easy to understand and complete. At least, this one will do what you want. Your old licence doesn't protect your copyright enforcement in a few situations (as soon as someone modify U++ source code).

Just add:

MIT licence is a very permissive licence. It's proprietary software friendly. It's also OSI approved (Open Source Initiative) and compatible with GNU GPL/LGPL licences too.

Only thing: there are no much software using it. Less than the BSD licence. When I begun this thread, I was thinking about releasing GPL software over U++. Then, I thought about merging things from GTK+/Glib too to have theming in-build in U++ (they use LGPL).