
Subject: Re: What license Ultimate++ should use? Tell us!!!

Posted by [captainc](#) on Mon, 18 Aug 2008 12:26:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Concerning the MIT license (From Wikipedia):

Quote:The license can be modified to suit particular needs. For example, the Free Software Foundation agreed in 1998 to use a modified MIT License for ncurses, which adds this clause:[2]

Except as contained in this notice, the name(s) of the above copyright holders shall not be used in advertising or otherwise to promote the sale, use or other dealings in this Software without prior written authorization.

What are the developers' thoughts on this statement? Is it necessary to have it in the license?

This is a key difference between BSD and MIT.

BSD States:

Quote:* * Neither the name of the <organization> nor the

* names of its contributors may be used to endorse or promote products

* derived from this software without specific prior written permission.
