Subject: Re: What license Ultimate++ should use? Tell us!!!
Posted by gprentice on Tue, 19 Aug 2008 11:56:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

amrein said this
Quote:I am missing something?

I have no idea coz I am totally lost.

According to this
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BSD_license

the BSD license meets the definition of open source as described here
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_Source_Definition

yet item 2 seems to require distribution of source ??

amrein said this
Quote:BSD license = Do whatever you want. If you release unmodified source, you must keep the copyright and license in the source. If you only release an unmodified TheIDE+U++ binary, you must tell about this license + keep the copyright. You can release modified TheIDE+U++ (binary or source) with whatever license you want but you must change the copyright.

I haven't got the slightest idea how you can conclude this when the BSD license says this
Quote:Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

i.e. the terms of distribution are the same whether the source is modified or not - and - the only requirement is that the license is included verbatim/unmodified - meaning that the distributor can charge money for it if he wants and I cannot ask for royalties.

Also, what do you mean by "wall software" - I don't understand this term.

Graeme