## Subject: Re: What license Ultimate++ should use? Tell us!!! Posted by bytefield on Tue, 19 Aug 2008 12:17:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I vote for MIT license because it is most permissive than others... you can modify the source code and release it with a new copyright and a new license. You have to retain copyright in source code just when you do a redistribution of full copy.

What about public domain and forgetting all license stuff and incompatibility? See SQLite copyright for example.

BTW, i like sqlite source files...

Quote:\*\* The author disclaims copyright to this source code. In place of

\*\* a legal notice, here is a blessing:

\*\*

- \*\* May you do good and not evil.
- \*\* May you find forgiveness for yourself and forgive others.
- \*\* May you share freely, never taking more than you give.

It is free to our conscience how we use the source...

Maybe after we clarify which license is better for Upp and for us, we should re-post this pool for people who answered with "I don't know" to have chance to choose a license...