

---

Subject: Re: What license Ultimate++ should use? Tell us!!!

Posted by [amrein](#) on Thu, 21 Aug 2008 09:16:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

gprentice wrote on Thu, 21 August 2008 01:12...

Also, I'd really like to know how BSD can be OSI approved when it doesn't require distribution/availability of source code.

<http://www.opensource.org/licenses>

I think I must be missing something.

His conclusion about the BSD license is not the conclusion of MS+GNU+OSI+Apple lawyers.

OSI is not the Free Software Foundation. As long as you release the source and permit modification+sell+redistribution, they don't care if the receiver release the new package as proprietary software. They accept license that are proprietary software friendly like BSD. FSF doesn't (or just with the LGPL but LGPL license protect the original source from been closed).

Quote:

What is the benefit of having a GNU or OSI approved license?

GNU + OSI + Proprietary software friendly => Your market can't be bigger. Your audience is the entire software market.

---