

---

Subject: Re: U++ talk

Posted by [mirek](#) on Wed, 03 Sep 2008 20:55:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

amrein wrote on Wed, 03 September 2008 12:52

The idea in the sent list (other thread) was to have several libs. Each directory in level 3 could be a library:

```
./source/upp/core
./source/upp/gui/core/x11
./source/upp/gui/core/win
./source/upp/gui/core/osx
./source/upp/gui/core/directfb
./source/upp/gui/library
./source/upp/gui/dialogs/x11
./source/upp/gui/dialogs/win
./source/upp/gui/dialogs/osx
./source/upp/network
./source/upp/database
./source/upp/database/oracle
./source/upp/database/odbc
./source/upp/database/sqlite
./source/upp/database/mysql
./source/upp/database/postgresql
./source/upp/dbus
./source/upp/opengl
./source/upp/xml
./source/upp/sound
./source/upp/video
./source/upp/html
./source/upp/script
./source/upp/richtext
./source/theide/...
./source/unstable/my_unstable_ide/
(...)
```

Core, Gui, Network, Database, OpenGL, XML could be dynamic libraries. Upp could be an all in one library too.

I suspect this is again another topic. We are not interested in restructuring U++ sources (except separating theide - perhaps).

What we need to decide is what packages should be combined into single .so. IMO, this is mainly decided by dependencies.

Mirek

---