
Subject: Re: SQL*, SQL&, SQL*:: ???

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Thu, 27 Nov 2008 19:19:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sergeynikitin wrote on Thu, 27 November 2008 13:21 I have seen similar use in HomeBudget.
But there it was ::Update.

Incidentally, all want more examples on databases and SQL.

:: Is not necessary, it is even wrong as all upp functions belongs to Upp namespace.

I often had in my apps Update method in which I had SQL * ::Update. Without scope selector I would have names conflict. I still use :: instead of UPP:: because it's shorter.
