
Subject: Re: string[] causes many overload complaints

Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Mon, 02 Mar 2009 09:15:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Uhm, I was sort of joking in a cryptic way, so to make myself clear.

If you need a range from 0 to MaxN, and you have index I, with "signed" type you need to validate I by:

```
is_valid = ( 0 <= I && I <= MaxN );
```

With "unsigned" type for I the very same condition turns into:

```
is_valid = (I <= MaxN);
```

This is why I like to use 0..N ranges indexed by unsigned variables, the safety checks then cost me just single compare, not two of them.

Addendum:

And AFAIK there's no special use for negative index values in NTL containers, ranges are always from 0, so if I would design the NTL containers, the basic [] operator would work with unsigned type, not signed. Probably breaking the convention of many programmers writing "for (int i=0; i<max; i++)" ... I never care too much about conventions anyway, unless they make sense, and this one does not.
