
Subject: Re: Crazy(?) idea about debugging
Posted by [mr_ped](#) on Wed, 18 Mar 2009 20:05:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

To get back on topic, at least partially... John Carmack (ID Software) once said that during work at Quake 1 (if I recall correctly) he was tampering with the game replays improvements over old DOOM (which already did allow player to record gameplay movie and then replay it). It was already based on deterministic game engine behavior, so only user inputs had to be stored. I think DOOM does use fixed rate (60Hz?) user input sampling and that's what goes into replay file too.

So while he was on it, he decided to treat timer results just like another user input, i.e. the game timing was part of input data structure and processed centrally in the same routine as user keys and mouse. According to his own words, this improved reproducibility of game crashes from replays a lot, because he was now not only able to simulate player's movements, but also his framerate and the graphical effects become deterministic too, not just gameplay physics events.

This is IMHO very familiar to your idea, but works only for strictly deterministic application which react to limited amount of input data. If your app fits this description, you should probably think about central input processing routine, making everything what matters in it's run part of input, and then save a "replay" in every (debug) run, so in case of crash you can run a replay with the same input and see if it leads to crash again.

As UPP is also GUI library handling user clicks and keys, maybe having some integrated package to create "replays" of user would be possible. But it would need to cover many aspects of "input", including timers and maybe something more. It would be quite a challenge to make it universal enough. But it would help both with debugging, and with GUI automated testing.
