

---

Subject: Using LLVM to compile U++

Posted by [phirox](#) on Thu, 14 May 2009 18:27:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I was intrigued by the move of freebsd to switch from GNU GCC to LLVM. So I installed llvm 2.5 with the clang frontend(llvm-gcc and llvm-g++).

I added a new Build Method named LLVM(under Setup->Build methods). Then set all the flags exactly like with GCC and edit the field Compiler name and put in llvm-g++.

Everything compiled ok, except one little part. In Lang.cpp it gave a segfault. I fixed this error by adding a nonsense variable above the ONCELOCK { line: e.g. "bool llvm\_fix;".

Ok the main advantages seem to be compiler speed, better error/warning descriptions, runtime optimizations which lead to faster execution speeds. The compiler speed is noticeable, I would guess about 30% faster in my case. The messages do seem more verbose. But onto the fun stuff, I tested the speed using the Timing package in reference.

The results for the GCC Speed build(-pipe -O2 -ffunction-section -fomit-frame-pointers):

The restm.Elapsed() = 8242

TIMING Index::FindAdd : 721.85 ms - 721.85 ns ( 2.01 s / 1000000 )

TIMING AsString : 160.85 ms - 160.85 ns ( 1.45 s / 1000000 )

TIMING rand : 0.00 ns - 0.00 ns ( 1.16 s / 1000000 )

The results for the LLVM Speed build(identical flags):

tm.Elapsed() = 8334

TIMING Index::FindAdd : 726.35 ms - 726.35 ns ( 2.12 s / 1000000 )

TIMING AsString : 112.35 ms - 112.35 ns ( 1.50 s / 1000000 )

TIMING rand : 0.00 ns - 0.00 ns ( 1.14 s / 1000000 )

Interesting results as you can see, I will definitely keep using it for now. If not only to keep testing upp's compatibility.

---