

---

Subject: Re: Improving the organization of U++ help  
Posted by [gprentice](#) on Sun, 31 May 2009 12:14:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:What is "UserManual->Library->Overview->Moveable"?

There's a lot of (document) topics in core that I didn't make a home for in the first post in this thread. I suspect they need to be somewhere more visible than buried in the library API docs but I didn't want to go to this detail in the first post. Hence, referring to the first post in this thread, Chapter 7 is "Library", so I "added" Overview sub-section and "Moveable" sub-topic.

Quote:Do you propose multiple trees?

I am afraid this would lead to requests to T++ that will take a lot of time to implement.

Meanwhile, I think that for most SW products docs, hyperlinks are enough to maintain logical structure.

I didn't think of this problem when I first posted this thread. I think it's worth putting some thought into.

How is the existing tree populated - by scanning packages for .tpp files ? Is "theIDE help" a hard coded top level topic? Auto-updating of the help index is a feature worth keeping of course.

I notice the order of topics shown in the tree is alphabetical. There needs to be control over the order. Maybe it wouldn't be hard to have a second tree with the ability to assign a redirect option to any node in the tree that points at a .tpp file and that topic is shown directly in the new tree instead of a hyper-link. I have to think more about this.

Quote:Come on. svn is good enough to manage source contributions. I do not see docs any harder.

...

Sure, svn. All this infrastrucure exists for more than 8 months.

I'm not familiar with using svn with U++. Topic++ files are binary aren't they, and they have images in them. How does subversion handle diffing them?

An auto-generated index isn't hugely important but most "helps" have them and I tend to use them. It's not important right now.

Graeme

---