
Subject: Re: Fields of the same name in diff tables
Posted by [mirek](#) on Tue, 02 Jun 2009 12:52:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

andrei_natanael wrote on Tue, 02 June 2009 01:36Hi, I remember a (short)discussion I had with Mirek some months ago about using sch files only for mapping DB fields in C++ code and let the programmer to create the DB structure in plain SQL because differences between SQL dialect implemented by different SQL "engines" in different ways... Now I think it's oblivious that sch MACROS doesn't cover good enough creation of tables(because difference between implementation).

It really is not that bad and it works fine. You just have to use it for what it is intended...

And, in fact, it is able to hide many DB engine differences for you. (YOu just cannot expect all of them to be hidden I guess

Mirek
