

---

Subject: Re: Include option for specific package  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Tue, 23 Jun 2009 22:40:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think there have been misunderstandings

luzr wrote on Tue, 23 June 2009 23:26tojocky wrote on Tue, 23 June 2009 15:15luzr wrote on Tue, 23 June 2009 18:09Well, I am sorry to be stubborn, I am just STRONGLY opposed to the idea that package should have any explicit include paths.

Mirek

By "explicit include paths" you mean full path?

Any path But package based path is more tolerable...

Mirek

Have I heard that before ?

Quote:I think that the Ion proposal included below is the simpler from an user point of view using, as Ion does, relative paths like "lib/src/common".

... relative paths under package directory.

The thing I did not want to do was precisely this:

Quote:Up to now, we usually fixed the issue by editing sources (`#include <foo/bar.h>` -> `#include "bar.h"`).

... as I prefer not to modify library sources, but for "force majeure".

I think Ion and me agree from the beginning that for a wrapper, the library sources tree begins from package folder.

We only want to say the compiler how to look for #includes there.

Best regards  
Koldo

---