

---

Subject: Re: Include option for specific package  
Posted by [koldo](#) on Wed, 24 Jun 2009 08:59:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello mr\_ped

Nobody in this thread wants absolute paths

mr\_ped wrote on Wed, 24 June 2009 10:09luzr wrote on Tue, 23 June 2009 17:09Well, I am sorry to be stubborn, I am just STRONGLY opposed to the idea that package should have any explicit include paths.

Mirek

+1

That would make packages hard to relocate easily, like now.

We only want paths relative to package folder and under it.

For example, this diagram:

Why this. For making wrappers to other libraries.

Situations:

1. Library directory structure is very simple: Nothing to do
2. Library directory structure is not very simple. Solutions:
  - 2.1 Change library source code. In my opinion this is ugly
  - 2.2 Put the library tree under Upp package and compile it seting include path structure under Upp package. This is something we like.

Best regards  
Koldo

## File Attachments

---

1) [Lay.PNG](#), downloaded 772 times

---