Subject: Re: Include option for specific package
Posted by tojocky on Wed, 24 Jun 2009 11:28:21 GMT

I agree with Koldo!
Maybe I proposed not finished variant. but we can restrict for do not permit to set absolute paths
koldo wrote on Wed, 24 June 2009 11:59Hello mr_ped

Nobody in this thread wants absolute paths

mr_ped wrote on Wed, 24 June 2009 10:09luzr wrote on Tue, 23 June 2009 17:09Well, I am sorry
to be stubborn, I am just STRONGLY opposed to the idea that package should have any explicit
include paths.

Mirek

+1
That would make packages hard to relocate easily, like now.

We only want paths relative to package folder and under it.

For example, this diagram:

Why this. For making wrappers to other libraries.

Situations:

1. Library directory structure is very simple: Nothing to do
2. Library directory structure is not very simple. Solutions:
2.1 Change library source code. In my opinion this is ugly
2.2 Put the library tree under Upp package and compile it seting include path structure under Upp
package. This is something we like.

Best regards
Koldo