Quote:I don't think that hg is the choice for everyone. Seems to me that big projects are using git. Gnome is using it, Qt too and also KDE is thinking to switch to it (amarok made the move). See the list from git site for almost every project using git.
I wish U++ to use git too

Open Solaris, Open JDK, Mozilla, Python, Xen, ... I think are big enough projects.

Anyway it seems that GIT has done some progress on documentation since the last time I looked at it.

GIT and mercurial have exactly the same syntax for the main commands, but GIT seems more complicated for the other ones: that is what is usually said about GIT: complicated commands (at least what I read last year).

Anyway the point is to figure out what peaple will, and wan't to use. It seems many upp users work with windows so good windows integration is requested (I haven't tested the GIT Windows GUI). Documentation is a central point: Mercurial has a complete, and yet simple documentation as well as many interesting plugins.
Simplicity of use is also a primary point: it seems that GIT and HG are just as easy to use (at least for the main commands)

I'm not saying GIT is better or worst than HG, I'm only saying it's worth looking closely at it before deciding to use GIT.